



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/551,919	04/19/2000	Joseph P.R. Tosey	50014.US01	3485
	590 03/14/2005		EXAMINER	
MERCHANT & GOULD PC P.O. BOX 2903			DADA, BEEMNET W	
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402-0903			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2135	
			DATE MAILED: 03/14/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/551,919	TOSEY, JOSEPH P.R.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Beemnet W Dada	2135				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a relative to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state that the mailing and the part of the mailing terms and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tinely within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) dayod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from tute, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed rs will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>14 December 2004</u> .					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ The	nis action is non-final.					
•) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-36 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withden 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-36 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/C Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 09/551,919 Page 2

Art Unit: 2135

DETAILED ACTION

1. The request filed 14 December 2004 for a request for Continued Examination (RCE) under 37 CFR 1.114 based on parent Application 09/551,919 is acceptable and an RCE has been established. An Action on the RCE follows. Claims 1-36 are pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1, 7, 11-12, 18, 22-23, 29, 33, 35 and 36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Naito et al. U.S. Patent No. 5,875,345 (hereinafter referred to as Naito) in view of Johnson et al. U.S. Patent No. 5,664,097 (hereinafter referred to as Johnson_097).
- 4. As per claims 1, 12, 23 and 35, Naito teaches a method for securing an information processing system such as a personal computer. The method includes an authentication process to start the system [column 2, lines 40-45]. Naito further teaches a method for determining if the system has been unused for a predetermined time period by measuring the expiration of a predetermined time since a last user input, and the system is resumed when user operates the device [column 1, lines 57-62], and suspending the system if it has not been used for a first predetermined period [column 2, lines 45-48]. The method further includes continuing

Art Unit: 2135

operation if the system resumes back within a second predetermined time period [column 3, lines 63-67 and column 4, lines 1-25]. Naito also teaches performing an authentication process if the system resumes after second predetermined time period [column 3, lines 63-67 and column 4, lines 1-25]. Naito does not explicitly teach performing authentication when the system resumes within the second predetermined time period. However, Johnson_097 teaches a data processing system for delaying the activation of inactivity security mechanism by inputting predetermined signal such as voice recognition method, for example comparing a recognizable stored samples of a valid user inputs [column 2, lines 35-44 and column 4, lines 26-28, 41-45]. Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the system of Johnson_097 within the system of Naito so as to include a process to delay locking up of a user interface as per teachings of Johnson_097. One would have been motivated to make such modification in order to further enhance the usability of the system by preventing multiple inputs of user passwords and only requiring simpler recognizable user inputs to delay activation of inactivity security mechanisms.

Page 3

5. As per claims 7, 18, 29 and 36, Naito as modified above teaches a method of authorization of a computer system. Naito further teaches continuing operation if the system resumes back within a second predetermined time period [column 3, lines 63-67 and column 4, lines 1-25], but fails to explicitly teach inputting a predetermined signal to the user operated device in the second predetermined period. However, Johnson_097 teaches a data processing system for delaying the activation of inactivity security mechanism by inputting predetermined signal such as voice recognition method, for example comparing a recognizable stored samples of a valid user inputs [column 2, lines 35-44 and column 4, lines 26-28, 41-45]. Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was

Art Unit: 2135

made to modify the system of Johnson_097 within the system of Naito so as to include a process to delay locking up of a user interface as per teachings of Johnson_097. One would have been motivated to make such modification in order to further enhance the usability of the system by preventing multiple inputs of user passwords and only requiring simpler recognizable user inputs to delay activation of inactivity security mechanisms.

- 6. As per claims 11, 22 and 33, Naito as modified above teaches a method of authorization of a computer system. Naito further teaches continuing operation if the system resumes back within a second predetermined time period [column 3, lines 63-67 and column 4, lines 1-25], but fails to explicitly teach inputting a predetermined audio voice signal to the user operated device in the second predetermined period. However, Johnson_097 teaches a data processing system for delaying the activation of inactivity security mechanism by inputting predetermined signal such as voice recognition method, for example comparing a recognizable stored samples of a valid user inputs [column 2, lines 35-44 and column 4, lines 26-28, 41-45]. Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the system of Johnson_097 within the system of Naito so as to include a process to delay locking up of a user interface as per teachings of Johnson_097. One would have been motivated to make such modification in order to further enhance the usability of the system by preventing multiple inputs of user passwords and only requiring simpler recognizable user inputs to delay activation of inactivity security mechanisms.
- 7. Claims 2, 13, and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Naito in view of Johnson_097 as applied to claims 1, 12, and 23 above, and further in view of Johnson et al. U.S. Patent No. 5,682,475 (hereinafter referred to as Johnson 475).

Art Unit: 2135

obtain the claimed invention.

8. The combination of Naito and Johnson_097 teach a method of authorization of a computer system, but fails to explicitly teach the authentication steps. However, Johnson_475 teaches a method and steps of the authentication. The method includes prompting a logon entry and a password entry [column 3, 55-60]. The method further includes, first entering a userid, then entering a password, and verifying that the entered userid and password correspond to an authorized user [column 1, 25-35]. Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to further modify the combination of Naito and Johnosn_097 so as to include an authentication method as per teachings of Johnson_475, because it will allow a user to be granted access into the system after verifying the userid and

password, further improving the security of the system. Therefore it would have been obvious to

employ the teachings of Johnson_475 within the combination of Naito and Johnson_097 to

- 9. Claims 3-6, 14-17, and 25-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Naito in view of Johnson_097 as applied to claims 1, 12, and 23 above, and further in view of "System Mode Transition with Notification and Adoption," IBM Technical Disclosure Bulletin, September 1995, Vol. 38, Issue No. 9, pages 153-154 (hereinafter referred to as IBM).
- 10. As per claims 3, 14, and 25, the combination of Naito and Johnson_097 as modified above teach a method of authorization of a computer system, but fails to explicitly teach prompting a user that operating session has been suspended. However, IBM teaches a system that notifies (prompts) users of system mode transitions (see IBM). Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to further

Art Unit: 2135

modify the combination of Naito and Johnson_097 so as to include notification as per teachings of IBM, because this modification further notifies users of system mode, transitions as per teachings of IBM and allows users to be more conscious and informed about the coming interruption program. Therefore it would have been obvious to employ the teachings of IBM within the combination of Naito and Johnson_097 to obtain the claimed invention.

- 11. As per claims 4, 15, and 26, the combination of Naito and Johnson_097 as modified above teaches a method of authorization of a computer system, but fails to explicitly teach a prompt comprises display of plurality of icons. However, IBM teaches a system that notifies (prompts) users of system mode transitions, and further suggests various ways of notifying users depending on the hardware and operating system (see IBM). Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to further modify the combination of Naito and Johnosn_097 so as to include a prompt comprising a display as per teachings of IBM, because this modification further notifies users of system mode transitions as per teachings of IBM and allows users to be more conscious and informed about the coming interruption program. Therefore it would have been obvious to employ the teachings of IBM within the combination of Naito and Johnson_097 to obtain the claimed invention.
- 12. As per claims 5, 16 and 27, the combination of Naito and Johnson_097 as modified above teaches a method of authorization of a computer system, but fails to explicitly teach a prompt that comprises entering a screen saver mode. However, IBM teaches a system that uses a screen saver mode transition and prior notification of transitions (see IBM). Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to further modify the combination of Naito and Johnson_097 so as to include a screen

Art Unit: 2135

saver mode as per teachings of IBM, because this modification further notifies users of system mode transitions as per teachings of IBM. Therefore it would have been obvious to employ the teachings of IBM within the combination of Naito and Johnson_097 to obtain the claimed invention.

- 13. As per claims 6, 17, and 28, the combination Naito and Johnson_097 as modified above teaches a method of authorization of a computer system, but fails to explicitly teach a prompt comprises of audio signal. However, IBM teaches a system that notifies (prompt) users of system mode transitions, and further suggests various ways of notifying users depending on the hardware and operating system (see IBM). Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to further modify the combination of Naito and Johnson_097 so as to include audio signal notification as per teachings of IBM, because this modification further notifies users of system mode transitions as per teachings of IBM and allows users to be more conscious and informed about the coming interruption program. Therefore it would have been obvious to employ the teachings of IBM within the combination of Naito and Johnson 097 to obtain the claimed invention.
- 14. Claims 8-10, 19-21, and 30-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Naito in view of Johnson_097 as applied to claims 7, 18, and 29 above and further in view of Jalili (U.S. Patent No. 6,209,104).
- 15. As per claims 8, 19 and 30, the combination of Naito and Johnson_097 as modified above teaches a method of authorization of a computer system, but fails to explicitly teach a method of selecting predetermined icon displayed by a display device for authentication

Application/Control Number: 09/551,919 Page 8

Art Unit: 2135

process. However, Jalili teaches a system where a user selects predetermined icon or icons from a display for authentication process (column 3, lines 10-19). Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to further modify the combination of Naito and Johnson_097 so as to include visual authentication process as per teachings of Jalili. One would have been motivated to make such modification in view of the suggestion in Jalili, that this modification provides a system that is not easily susceptible to the over-the-shoulder problem (i.e., to password compromise by a third party of the user's keyboard data entry). Therefore it would have been obvious to employ the teachings of Jalili within the combination of Naito and Johnson 097 to obtain the claimed invention.

16. As per claims 9, 20 and 31, the combination of Naito and Johnson_097 as modified above teaches a method of authorization of a computer system, but fails to explicitly teach a method of selecting predetermined character displayed by display device for authentication process. However, Jalili teaches a system where a user selects predetermined alphanumeric character display for authentication process (fig 4. and column 3, lines 45-47). Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to further modify the combination of Natio and Johnson_097 so as to include visual authentication process as per teachings of Jalili. One would have been motivated to make such modification in view of the suggestion in Jalili, that this modification provides a system that is not easily susceptible to the over-the-shoulder problem (i.e., to password compromise by a third party of the user's keyboard data entry). Therefore it would have been obvious to employ the teachings of Jalili within the combination of Naito and Johnson_097 to obtain the claimed invention.

Art Unit: 2135

17. As per claims 10, 21, and 32, the combination of Natio and Johnson_097 as modified above teaches a method of authorization of a computer system, but fails to explicitly teach a method of placing a cursor at a predetermined coordinate for authentication process. However, Jalili teaches a system where a user identifies particular location on set of coordinates for authentication process (Fig 6, and column 7, lines 55-68). Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to further modify the combination of Naito and Johnson_097 so as to include visual authentication process as per teachings of Jalili. One would have been motivated to make such modification in view of the suggestion in Jalili, that this modification provides a system that is not easily susceptible to the over-the-shoulder problem (i.e., to password compromise by a third party of the user's keyboard data entry). Therefore it would have been obvious to employ the teachings of Jalili within the combination of Naito and Johnson 097 to obtain the claimed invention.

- 18. Claim 34 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Natio in view of Johnson_097 as applied to claim 29 above, and further in view of Flickner et al. (hereinafter referred to as Flickner) (U.S. Patent No. 6,282,553 B1).
- 19. As per claim 34 the combination of Natio and Johnson_097 as modified above teaches a method of authorization of a computer system, but fails to explicitly teach inputting predetermined signal comprises user looking at a predetermined character at a location displayed on a display device. However, Flickner teaches a security method whereby the method includes authentication by receiving a coded input from an eye movement form a user, and the user gazing at least a predetermined key location (column 1, lines 50-57). Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was

Application/Control Number: 09/551,919 Page 10

Art Unit: 2135

made to further modify the combination of Naito and Johnson_097 so as to include gaze-based authentication process as per teachings of Flickner. One would have been motivated to make such modification in view of the suggestion in Flickner that it is advantageous to use gaze-based authentication since it is accurate and inexpensive. Therefore it would have been obvious to employ the teachings of Flickner within the combination of Naito and Johnson_097 to obtain the claimed invention.

Response to Arguments

- 20. Applicant's arguments filed December 14, 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that Naito fails to teach or suggest continuing the operation session if the user performs an authentication-update process within a second predetermined time period after the operating session is suspended, and continuing the operating session if the user performs the authentication process after the operating session is suspended in the second predetermined time period is exceeded. Further, the applicant argues that Johnson_097 does not suggest a second predetermined time and an authentication-update process within a second predetermined time period after the operating session is suspended. The examiner respectfully disagrees.
- 21. Naito teaches an information processing system where, the system enters a suspend mode when a predetermined time has elapsed since a last system operation (first predetermined time) [See Naito, column 4, lines 5-24], and performing authentication if the system resumes after a second predetermined time has elapsed [See Naito, column 4, lines 5-24]. Naito also teaches the system continuing without authentication, if the system resumes

Page 11

Art Unit: 2135

within a second predetermined time [See Naito, column 4, lines 5-24], but Naito suggests that in a system where improved security is stressed authentication could be necessary [See Naito, column 3, lines 35-37]. Further, Johnson_097, teaches voice recognition method for delaying the activation of inactivity security mechanism, thereby eliminating the need for keyboard password authentication when a user inputs predetermined audio signal when a time limit of a security mechanism is about to expire [column 1, lines 37-45 and column 4, lines 25-47]. Therefore, modification of voice recognition method for delaying the activation of inactivity security mechanism taught by Johnson_097 into the system of Naito comprising a second predetermined period for delaying activation of inactivity security mechanism teaches a second predetermined time period that relates to authentication-update process, eliminating the need for keyboard password authentication, further enhancing usability of the system by preventing multiple inputs of user passwords and only requiring simpler recognizable user inputs to delay activation of inactivity security mechanisms.

Conclusion

- 22. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- a) US Patent 6,715,086 B1 teaches a data processing system and method having time-sapn support for input device driver.
- b) IBM Technical Disclosure Bulletin, "Hardware Monitor Security Feature" August 1989, Vol. 32, Pages 284-285, teaches screen saver security features.

Art Unit: 2135

Landweher, Carl "Protecting Unattended Computers Without Software" IEEE c)

1997 teaches a simple hardware-based system to protect computers from unauthorized use by

those with access to the monitor and keyboards

23. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Beemnet W Dada whose telephone number is (571) 272-3847. The

examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday (9:00 am - 5:30 pm).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Kim Y Vu can be reached on (571) 272-3859. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private

PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Beemnet Dada

February 28, 2005

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100